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Abstract

Domestic violence is considered a major social problem worldwide. Different countries have enacted the law to contain and protect the victims of domestic violence. In order to understand the nature of domestic violence, medical professionals and researchers have performed manual analysis of facial injuries. The aim of these studies is to find commonly affected facial regions, to determine the types of maxillofacial trauma associated with domestic violence, and to distinguish the injuries of domestic violence from accidents. Analysis of these injuries assist the service providers in providing proper treatment to the victims as well as facilitate law enforcement investigation. This paper automates the process of analyzing the facial injuries to distinguish the victims of domestic abuse from others. For this purpose, Domestic Violence Face database of 450 subjects with two classes namely, Domestic Violence and Non-Domestic Violence, is prepared. The paper also presents a novel framework using activation maps of deep learning features for determining whether an image belongs to domestic violence class or not. The results on the proposed database show that deep learning based framework is effective in detecting domestic injuries.

1. Introduction

Domestic violence is an aggressive behavior used by one person to dominate or to gain control over another in a domestic setting. It affects people of all age, gender, nationality, socio-economic status, religion, and culture [2]. It is a global problem and every year such cases are increasing at a rapid pace. According to National Coalition Against Domestic Violence (NCADV), every minute an average of 20 people become a victim of domestic violence, which in turn result in 10 million victims annually [3]. According to the World Health Organization (WHO), 35% of women across the world experience domestic violence in their lifetime [5].

Domestic violence is an offense and statements of the victim are considered sufficient evidence to charge the accused person. However, in majority of the cases, victims change their statement during the proceedings of the trial. In such scenarios, many times the accused is set free in absence of any other supporting evidence [1, 4]. A crime goes unpunished which in turn increases the risk of future domestic violence on the victim. Moreover, the cases of false allegations of domestic violence are also becoming common. Every year, the number of such cases are increasing rapidly [1]. False cases lead to serious legal trouble for the accused. These challenges can only be addressed if there is a means to identify the real victim of domestic violence.

Due to intraclass variations in domestic violence injuries and interclass similarities with other injuries, identifying the victims is a difficult problem. Specifically, many times the injuries of domestic violence look visually similar to the injuries of non-domestic violence. Figure 1 illustrates the
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Figure 1: Sample images showing visual similarities in the injuries of domestic violence and non-domestic violence cases: (a), (b) and (c) are the images of the facial injuries of domestic violence, (d), (e) and (f) show the images of non-domestic violence facial injuries, (a) and (d) show similarities in the cheek region, (b) and (e) show similarities in the eye region, and (c) and (f) show similarities in the forehead region.

1The figure contains synthetically created injuries for illustrative purpose only.
similarities in the injuries of domestic violence and non-domestic violence. In medical literature, research has been conducted on analyzing the facial injuries of the victims of domestic violence [8, 9, 12, 15, 19]. As illustrated in Figure 2, it is found that the middle third of the face is mostly affected (68.64%), followed by lower third (18.64%) and upper third (12.71%) [11]. All these studies are observational (manual) and require an expert’s help. The manual process is time-consuming, require physical labor, and non-scalable. Therefore, an automated system to analyze and classify injuries (into domestic and non-domestic classes) is essential in helping service providers for treatment and law enforcement agencies in investigation.

With the advancement of deep learning and machine learning techniques, the area of biometrics has reached a new level of success, especially in facial analysis and recognition [20]. These advanced techniques can be used to detect the cases of domestic violence by analyzing face images. Currently, there is no automated system to detect the victims of domestic violence. Discriminative features in battered face images of the victims can be used by the classification algorithms to distinguish them from other faces. However, a solution is always encompassed with multiple challenges. Following are some of the scenarios that make the problem difficult:

1. During a violence, facial features get heavily affected by the injuries. Automatic face detection becomes difficult in the presence of injured facial features.
2. Injuries during accidents and sports like boxing are similar to the injuries of domestic violence (i.e., interclass similarities).
3. Identifying discriminative features of domestic violence may have large intraclass variations.

### 1.1. Related Work

In the literature, researchers have studied and analyzed the injuries caused by domestic violence. These studies are primarily observational (manual) and the aim of these studies is to find the commonly affected parts of the face by domestic violence. The studies also focus on the analysis of the type of facial fractures associated with domestic violence. For instance, Goulart et al. [9] have studied the abnormalities of maxillofacial injuries caused by interpersonal violence and noted that interpersonal violence results in a higher rate of facial fractures. Therefore, domestic violence is called as interpersonal violence as well. The pattern of oral maxillofacial trauma caused by domestic violence is studied by Ferreira et al. [8]. According to the study, domestic violence is identified as a factor for the fracture of jaw bone, bruises on face, and injury of tooth-supporting structure. Lee [12] has observed that jawbone and cheekbone are commonly fractured by domestic violence. In some studies, the association between alcohol and domestic violence is established. For this purpose, OMeara et al. [15] have studied the increase in the severity of facial fractures with alcohol and interpersonal violence. Apart from these studies, a recent study focuses on differentiating facial injuries of domestic violence and accidents [19]. The authors observed that the injuries of ear, nose, upper and lower jaw point indicate a criminal violence.

### 1.2. Research Contributions

To the best of our knowledge, there is no automated system to detect or to classify the victims of domestic violence. This paper attempts to automate the process of analyzing facial injuries for identifying the victims of domestic violence. For this purpose, Detection of Domestic Violence (DDV) framework is proposed to learn the discriminative features by paying attention to the injured regions of the facial image. Key contributions of this research are:

1. Proposing a novel Domestic Violence Face (DVF) database of 450 subjects with two classes namely, Domestic Violence (DV) and Non-Domestic Violence (NDV).
2. Proposing DDV framework to distinguish faces of the victims of domestic violence from others.

### 2. Domestic Violence Face (DVF) Database

The main challenge of this research is the creation of a database to address the problem of identifying the victims of domestic violence. Majority of the times the face images are not available, thereby making it difficult to find the images of the victims. To prepare the DVF database we collect the images from Internet, specifically from news articles, and
Table 1: Description of the proposed DVF database.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Class</th>
<th>Feature</th>
<th>No. of Images</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Domestic Violence</td>
<td>Injured Faces</td>
<td>150</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Normal</td>
<td>130</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Others</td>
<td>85</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Non-Domestic Violence</td>
<td>Injured Faces</td>
<td>85</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Normal</td>
<td>130</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Others</td>
<td>85</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total</td>
<td></td>
<td>450</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

2The URLs to these images will be released to the research community as a part of the research efforts.

social media\(^2\). The proposed DVF database consists of 450 images with one image per subject. The database contains two classes namely, 1) Domestic Violence (DV) with 150 images and 2) Non-domestic violence with 300 images. The details of both the classes are discussed below and Table 1 presents the summary of the database.

2.1. Domestic Violence (DV)

DV class contains the images of real victims of domestic violence. Images of the victims published in news or in social media are taken to create the database. It also includes images of the celebrities with domestic violence makeup to increase awareness about the offense. Majority of the images are frontal with few non-frontal images. While in majority of cases, eye regions are badly affected by domestic violence, bruises and swellings are also common in the injuries of domestic violence. According to Sheridan et al. [17], the most commonly wounded part is the middle third part of the face. As 90% of the population is right-handed, therefore mostly the left side of the face is more injured as compared to the right side. Victims often turn right for avoiding hits [6] that result in hurting left part of the face in most of the cases. This phenomena is also observed in the proposed database.

2.2. Non-Domestic Violence (NDV)

As shown in Figure 3, bruises and swellings are common in sports such as boxing and wrestling. These injuries look visually similar to the injuries of domestic violence. Some diseases such as chicken pox, measles, and vitiligo change the appearance of the face. Use of illicit drug also affect the facial features to a great extent and long-term usage cause skin sores and scars [22]. Further, scars, marks and tattoos also change the facial appearance. For correct classification of the victims, the algorithms must be robust to handle such changes in facial features. For this reason, NDV class is created to incorporate multiple variations in faces. This class contains faces with non-domestic violence injuries, faces with diseases, tattoos, face packs, dirty face images, and drug abuse faces. Some clean face images in a controlled and uncontrolled environment are also included.

To create NDV class, images are download from the Internet and taken from CMU-MultiPIE [10] and CelebA [13] databases. These variations can help to evaluate the robustness of the algorithms in different challenging scenarios.

3. Framework for Detection of Domestic Violence (DDV)

For distinguishing domestic and non-domestic violence victims, facial features are required to be learned from the injured regions. For this purpose, a deep-learning based framework is proposed to learn the discriminative features from the injured regions of the face image and identify the victims of domestic violence. As shown in Figure 4, the DDV framework uses class activation maps that provide weights to the features learned from the injured regions. In the proposed DDV framework, VGGFace model [16] is fine tuned over the DVF dataset and corresponding class activation maps are generated.

**Feature Extraction and Class Activation Map:** Let \( \mathbf{F} \) be the set of feature map of last convolutional layer and \( F_k(x, y) \) represent the value of the \( k^{th} \) feature map at spatial location \((x, y)\). Let \( \mathbf{C} \) be the class set with two classes namely \( \{D, N\} \). The aim is to generate the class activation map \( \mathbf{M} \) using feature map \( \mathbf{F} \). Mathematically it is represented as:

\[
\mathbf{M} = f(\mathbf{F})
\]

where, \( f(.) \) is a function to generate class activation map \( \mathbf{M} \) from feature map \( \mathbf{F} \). To generate \( \mathbf{M} \), global average pooling is first applied on the last convolutional layer representing feature map set \( \mathbf{F} \). The output of the global average pooling is the scalar value \( P_k \) corresponding to each feature map \( F_k \). Mathematically, it is written as:

\[
P_k = \frac{1}{x \times y} \sum_{x, y} F_k(x, y)
\]
Once the output from the global average pooling is obtained, the weights $W$ of the fully connected Softmax layer learned during training is used. As mentioned in [23], the best representation for generating class activation map is the weighted sum of the individual feature map. Therefore, class activation map ($M$) corresponding to image of class $\{D, N\}$ is represented as:

$$M_D = \sum_k w_{k,D}F_k \quad \forall k$$

$$M_N = \sum_k w_{k,N}F_k \quad \forall k$$

where, the $w_{k,D}$ and $w_{k,N}$ represent the weights learned for $k^{th}$ feature map corresponding to class $D$ and $N$ respectively.

**Classification:** In order to classify the learned features into class $\{D, N\}$, the output of global pooling layer $P_k$ is given as input to the Softmax layer.

$$S_D = \sum_k w_{k,D}, P_k$$

$$S_N = \sum_k w_{k,N}, P_k$$

The output of the Softmax for class $C$ is represented as:

$$S_C = \frac{e^X}{\sum_{C \in \{D,N\}} e^{X_C}}$$

where, $X \in \{S_D, S_N\}$ and $S_C$ represents the output of the Softmax for class $C$.

### 4. Experiments and Results

Viola-Jones face detector [21] is applied to all the images to segment the facial region. The detector failed to detect 91 images which are cropped manually for further processing. For experimental evaluation, the DVF database is partitioned into training and testing sets with 60% images in training and 40% images in the testing set corresponding to each class. This results in a total of 270 images in training set and 180 images in testing set. Experiments are performed with five times repeated random sub-sampling for training and testing partitioning. Further, experiments are performed on Tensorflow with Nvidia GTX 1080Ti GPU and Intel Core i7-8700 CPU with 64 GB of RAM.

#### 4.1. Baseline Performance

To evaluate the baseline performance on the DVF database, two deep learning models, VGGFace [16], VGG16 [18], and two handcrafted feature extraction algorithms, Local Binary Pattern (LBP) [14] and Histogram of Oriented Gradient (HOG) [7] are used. Features extracted using LBP, HOG, pre-trained VGGFace, and pre-trained VGG16 are used to train four different classifiers: Support Vector Machine (SVM), K-Nearest Neighbor (K-NN), Naive Bayes (NB), and Random Decision Forest (RDF). Results and confusion matrix of the baseline algorithms are shown in Table 2 and Table 3, respectively. Table 2 shows that VGGFace descriptor with SVM outperforms existing baseline algorithms. Receiver Operating Characteristic (ROC) curves of the handcrafted feature extractors corresponding to all the classifiers are shown in Figure 5a. Figure 5b shows the ROC curves of the pre-trained deep CNN models and the proposed framework with class activation maps. Confusion matrix shown in Table 3 indicates that...
False Reject Rate (FRR) is high for all the cases. High FRR is an indication of the misclassification of large number of samples of the DV class as NDV class. For instance, 80% samples pertaining to domestic violence class are misclassified as non-domestic violence using LBP-RDF. The reason behind the misclassification is the inability of the algorithms in distinguishing the discriminative features among the images of the two classes.

### 4.2. Performance Evaluation of the Proposed Framework

In order to evaluate the performance of the proposed framework on the DVF database, VGGFace model is fine-tuned. ROC curves of the proposed framework, pre-trained VGGFace, and VGG16 model are shown in Figure 5b. The accuracies of the baseline algorithms and the proposed framework at Equal Error Rate (EER) are shown in Table 2. The proposed framework shows 3% improvement over the best performing baseline algorithm, i.e. pre-trained VGGFace-SVM. Further, confusion matrix of VGGFace is compared with the proposed framework in Table 4. The proposed framework shows lower FRR compared to the VGGFace-SVM. The improvement in overall performance of the proposed algorithm can be attributed to the class activation maps computed using deep learning models which helps in distinguishing DV and NDV classes.

### 5. Conclusion

Domestic violence is a social problem and automatically classifying such injuries from non-domestic violence injuries help in specialized treatments and law enforcement investigations. With the goal of “Artificial Intelligence for Social Cause”, this paper introduces Domestic Violence Face database and a deep learning framework for detecting such injuries. Experiments are performed with multiple feature descriptors and classifiers, and the results show the adv-
Table 3: Confusion matrix (%) of baseline algorithms on the DVF database.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Algorithm</th>
<th>SVM</th>
<th>Naive Bayes</th>
<th>RDF</th>
<th>KNN</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Ground Truth</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LBP</td>
<td>DV 45</td>
<td>NDV 55</td>
<td>DV 48.3</td>
<td>NDV 51.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>NDV 27.5</td>
<td>NDV 72.5</td>
<td>NDV 34.17</td>
<td>NDV 65.83</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HOG</td>
<td>DV 51.7</td>
<td>NDV 48.3</td>
<td>DV 55</td>
<td>NDV 45</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>NDV 19.1</td>
<td>NDV 80.9</td>
<td>NDV 21.6</td>
<td>NDV 78.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>VGGFace</td>
<td>DV 68.3</td>
<td>NDV 31.7</td>
<td>DV 76.7</td>
<td>NDV 23.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>NDV 12.5</td>
<td>NDV 87.5</td>
<td>NDV 26.7</td>
<td>NDV 73.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>VGG16</td>
<td>DV 51.7</td>
<td>NDV 48.3</td>
<td>DV 43.3</td>
<td>NDV 56.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>NDV 19.2</td>
<td>NDV 80.8</td>
<td>NDV 20</td>
<td>NDV 80</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 4: Confusion matrix (%) of VGGFace-SVM and the proposed framework.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Algorithm</th>
<th>Predicted</th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Ground Truth</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>VGGFace-SVM</td>
<td>DV 68.3</td>
<td>NDV 31.37</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DDV Framework</td>
<td>DV 73.33</td>
<td>NDV 26.67</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>NDV 9.17</td>
<td>NDV 90.83</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Acknowledgement

This research is partly supported by the Infosys Center of Artificial Intelligence, IIIT Delhi, India.

References

[21] P. Viola and M. Jones. Rapid object detection using a boosted cascade of simple features. In IEEE Computer Society Con-
